26 FEB 1900

	MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller
	THROUGH : Deputy Director for Support 725 27 FEB 1968
	SUBJECT: Processing of Involuntary Retirement and Other Involuntary Separation Cases
	REFERENCE: Memo for DDP and DDS for ExDir-Count dtd 25 Jan 68, subject: Miss 25X1
	1. This memorandum is for your information in response to referent memorandum.
25X1	2. The processing time on the case was too great. Although it was a pilot case and great care was being exercised to ensure the employee's rights were not abridged, it appears to me that too much time was lost someplace in the process. Not all was wasted, however, for there were developed procedures for processing involuntary retirement cases. Those
25X1	produces were approved by the Cla Retirement Board. They were days loved
25X1	not specifically provided in HR ensure to the employee substantially the considerations afforded by H
	cise time estimate for the overall process is not possible since employee action options are not fixed exactly. I do believe that under no circumstances should it take more than 60 days from formal notification to the individual of the intended action.
25X1	3. I understand that it was the intention of the Agency in drafting the regulations, which had to be approved by our Subcommittees, to keep them simple and while still protecting the employee's rights to abbreviate the detailed and time-consuming procedures presently provided in HR I have no quarrel with firmness and expedition, but I am not persuaded that it is wise to do any less for the involuntary retiree under the CIA Retirement and Disability System than for the involuntary separation case under any authority. I should like, therefore, to reserve the opportunity to further examine and think through this issue and perhaps to recommend procedural refinement.
25X1	I have attached a come of a parallel presentation of the critical steps under HR of the processing of the processing of the case.
	/s/ Energy S. Wattles
	Robert S. Wattles Director of Personnel
	Attachment MORI/CDF Page 6
	1 - ER
	2 - D/Pers 2 - DDS Subject OD/Pers/RSWattles:hc (20 Feb 68)

STAT

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

MEMORANDUM :	FOR:	Deputy	Director	for	Support
--------------	------	--------	----------	-----	---------

SUBJECT Miss

Memo for D/Pers fr DDS dtd 31 Jan 68, REFERENCE

same subject

- 1. This memorandum is for your information and forwards a response to the Executive Director-Comptroller's memorandum of 25 January 1968.
- 2. The attached memorandum for the Executive Director-Comptroller I believe responds also to referent memorandum. did not seek the coordination of the Deputy Director for Plans in either memorandum. I believe his independent response of 2 February to the Executive Director-Comptroller and the participation of his representatives in the Retirement Board development of the procedures discussed in the attached memorandum amount to substantial concurrence.
- 3. The policy implications of the procedures developed are not clear in my thinking. We should expect relatively few cases of involuntary retirement but they may well involve further procedural refinement. I intend therefore to control them from my own desk. If with more experience we find that such involuntary cases can be handled by the same mechanism as other involuntary separations, I would propose to do so.

25X1 Robert S. Wattles

Director of Personnel

Attachment

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release	$\alpha \alpha $	\triangle		20000000 F
Annroved For Release	ZUD6/U8/3D:	(IA-RI)P84.	-00780800740	11 11 11 11 11 12 3-5
Approved For Release	2000/00/00 .		-0010011002-1	JUUZUUU-U

1)1/5 68.0864

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller
THROUGH : Deputy Director for Support 21 FEB 1968
SUBJECT: Processing of Involuntary Retirement and Other Involuntary Separation Cases
REFERENCE: Memo for DDP and DDS fr ExDir-Compt dtd 25 Jan 68, subject:
1. This memorandum is for your information in response to referent memorandum.
2. The processing time on the case was too great. Although it was a pilot case, it appears to me upon review that too much time was lost someplace in the process. Not all was wasted, however, because there was developed a set of procedures for processing involuntary separation cases, which procedures were approved by the CIA Retirement Board. They were developed in consonancy with put by the extension of some procedures not specifically provided would ensure some of the considerations for the employee afforded by HR Involuntary Separations. A precise time estimate for the processing is difficult to arrive at because the limitations on action by the employee concerned are somewhat flexible. I do believe that under no circumstances should it take more than 60 days once the Director's decision has first been made.
3. I understand that it was the intention of the Agency in drafting the regulations which had to be approved by our Subcommittees to simplify and by simplifying abbreviate the rather detailed and time-consuming procedures under HR when processing involuntary retirements under While I can understand our choosing a hard-nosed approach, I am not persuaded that it is necessarily wise to do less for the involuntary retiree under the CTARDS than for the involuntary separation case under any other authority. I should like, therefore, to reserve the opportunity to further examine and think through this problem and sometime in the future to perhaps make recommendations for procedural refinement.
4. I have attached a conv of a parallel presentation of the critical 25X1 steps under HR of the procedures approved by the Board as developed during the processing of the case.
Robert S. Wattles Director of Personnel